

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL No. PS20210504
CONSULTANCY FOR DESIGN RENEWAL OF COLLINGWOOD PARK

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS NO. 2

ISSUED ON: November 17, 2021

Q1	Existing Materials: Is there a desire to re-use existing materials in the future park (i.e.: concrete from the wading pool?)
A1	If some of the old materials are able to be incorporated in to the new design it would be consistent with the Park Boards goals.
Q2	Baseball Triangle: What is the desire of the city for the work to be done here? What will the overall scope of work be on this play space?
A2	It is anticipated that the diamond will have minor improvements to the surface and the possible addition of a small storage “chest” for sports groups.
Q3	Overall Site drainage: is there a need or a want from the city for there to be overall site drainage installed, or just around the new playground, water feature/rain garden?
A3	The intention is not to create a competed field drainage system in the open lawn area. The general desire is to improve localized wet areas if they are able to be connected to existing drains or the new rain garden. Drainage should part of the playground renewal, ideally flowing in to the rain garden if grades allow.
Q4	In light of the statutory holiday on November 11th, would the City entertain an extension?
A4	Please see Amendment #2.
Q5	Will Indigenous consultation be part of the scope of the engagement requirements?
A5	The design consultant’s scope of work does not include any consultation with the Nations.
Q6	Will this project require a lighting plan?
A6	A lighting plan is not required in scope of work

Q7	Could the City please provide more details about their intentions for the stream?
A7	The intention is to acknowledge the historic stream in some way in the design. The scale of raingarden is likely to be modest but we would like it to be able to slow the movement of runoff in to the storm water system. The runoff from the spray feature is also to flow in to the rain garden.
Q8	Will the proponent need to provide a certified irrigation design? Or will the City provide that?
A8	Irrigation requirements are to be determine. There will likely be very small system to feed a series of quick couplers located near the rain garden and playground
Q9	We see that there is no need for a Development Permit (DP) or presentation to Advisory Design Panel. Will there be any approvals process or is there an existing DP that we can refer to?
A9	No DP is required for this project. The concept design is to be approved by the Vancouver Park Board after public engagement is complete.
Q10	Can the City advise on the approximate size of the proposed water play feature or the similar scale example from Grandview Park?
A10	We anticipate the spray feature to be of a similar scale as the one in Grandview Park (Approximately 115m2).
Q10	What is the rationale / basis for needing a minimum of 6 test hole locations for the proposed water play feature?
A10	We are interested in the subsurface conditions to determine structure suitability for spray feature as well as to better understand material in terms of ability to infiltrate water.
Q11	If the geotechnical conditions at the location of the proposed water play feature can be evaluated in fewer than 6 test holes, can fewer than 6 test holes be proposed or does the City require costs based on 6 test holes for cost comparison?
A11	The cost for geotechnical work should be based on 6 test holes in the park. Exact locations to be determined.

END OF Q&A No. 2