

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. PS20190184

PROVISION OF A TREASURY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS NO. 1

ISSUED ON FEBRUARY 18, 2019

Q1	Is a private organization required to submit two years' worth of financial statements as part of the RFP submission, or can the financial statements be submitted at a later time during the evaluation of proposals?
A1	The City suggests that a City of Vancouver Mutual Non-Disclosure Agreement be executed by the City and the proponent, in order for the City to receive the financial statements as part of the proposal submission.
	Particularly for a short-listed proponent, in order for the City to complete its evaluation and select a successful proponent, the City requires the proponent's financial statements.
Q2	Is a proponent allowed to suggest edits or revisions to the City's Software-as-a-Service Agreement terms and conditions?
A2	Please complete and submit as part of the proposal, Appendix 9 [Proposed Amendments to Form of Agreement], for the City's review and consideration: however, the City may not accept the edits or revisions suggested by a proponent.
Q3	Would the City consider using the proponent's form of agreement?
А3	The City may review the proponent's form of agreement if submitted in the proposal; however, the City has a strong preference for using the City's Software-as-a-Service ("SaaS") Agreement which has been included in the RFP document.
Q4	Section 5.14 of the City's SaaS Agreement mentions PCI compliance, but the 'Note to draft' states removal of the PCI Requirements table. Is PCI compliance a contractual requirement?
A4	The City's will assess and confirm PCI compliance requirements as part of the evaluation process, and as part of the contracting process: therefore, the contract language pertaining to PCI compliance is subject to revision.
Q5	Can a proponent suggest using the proponent's own Service Level Agreement ("SLA"), rather than using the City's SLA which is in the City's Software-as-a-Service Agreement? Can a proponent suggest edits or revisions to the City's SLA?
A5	The proponent may submit the proponent's own SLA, for the City's review and consideration; however, the City may not use the proponent's SLA. Proponent's suggested edits or revisions to the City's SLA should be submitted in Appendix 9 [Proposed Amendments to Form of Agreement], for the City's review and consideration: however, the City may not accept the edits or revisions suggested by a proponent.