

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS "RFP" NO. PS20171400
CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR FRASER RIVER FLOOD MANAGEMENT PLAN PHASE I

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS NO. 1

ISSUED ON AUGUST 24, 2017

Q1	Page B-3, third bullet: "Develop a set of preferred attributes and guiding principles to carry forward as input into future project phases." Please elaborate and/or better define what preferred attributes and guiding principles refers to.
A1	<p>Preferred attributes and guiding principles are likely to vary along the length of the floodplain and vary by stakeholder and many include common themes around the scale and scope of preferred solutions (ranging from no action/minimal intervention to larger engineered solutions), alignment and nature of any engineered solutions, hardscape vs. soft-scape features including aesthetics and recreation-related attributes, etc.</p> <p>Guiding principles are overarching values-based statements that may be used to guide decision-making and planning activities moving forward. Crude examples of guiding principles may include the desire to: be engaged at specific planning milestones, minimize damage to property from flooding, minimize flood management implementation costs, or enhance the natural shoreline environment. Wherever possible or logical to do so, it would be useful for the successful proponent to capture and summarize dominant themes around guiding principles either by stakeholder group and/or geographic zone along the alignment. Preferred attributes may be more specific than guiding principles, and may relate to specific flood management concepts or options, such as the desire for a continuous bike path along an engineered dike.</p> <p>The successful proponent has flexibility in how stakeholder feedback is summarized and presented. Professional judgement should be used when deciphering how best to summarize, analyze and report out on stakeholder feedback with the ultimate goal of presenting information in such a way as to be not only representative of dominant stakeholder preferences, but also useful for future planning and decision making.</p>
Q2	Page B-3, top bullet: "Communicate City's minimum/baseline requirements for flood management within the floodplain". Have these been specifically articulated in any document or are we to decipher them from the City's FLOOD PLAIN STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS?
A2	The City's minimum/baseline requirements should be ascertained from conversations with City staff as well as through review of the City's "Flood

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS "RFP" NO. PS20171400
CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR FRASER RIVER FLOOD MANAGEMENT PLAN PHASE I
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS #1**

	Plain Standards and Requirements". Baseline requirements may include items identified in the "Flood Plain Standards and Requirements" document as well as actions to support protection of critical assets and infrastructure (schools, rail, utilities, roads, etc.) that contribute to our community well-being, transportation and economy, for example.
Q3	Page B-6, last point in 4.0: "Phase I of the FRFMP project will revisit select elements from both the long and short list of potential high-level options during a values-based discussion with stakeholders to gather input on preferences." We are assuming the short list are the ones identified in the CFRA Phase II report. Can you confirm where we can access the 'long list' options?
A3	The long list of options is provided as Table 2 on pages 23-25 of the CFRA Phase II report. The short-list of options, also discussed at length in the CRFA Phase II, includes a combination of no action (baseline), managed retreat, shoreline dike, inland dike, and adapt for the Foreshore and/or Southlands zones. More detail on the short-listed options can be found in Appendix D of the CFRA Phase II. Refer to Amendment No. 1 for the CFRA Phase II Report.
Q4	Related to Q3 above, are the "select elements" already selected? I.e., to what extent is the City anticipating engagement will result in revisions of existing options/development of new options?
A4	No, the "select elements" to revisit from the CFRA Phase II have not been specifically selected already. The successful proponent, with input from the City, shall decide what aspects of the CRFA Phase II long- and short-lists to discuss with stakeholders as well as when and how to do so. A broad review of some of the more relevant options from the long-list might be worthy of discussion, followed by a more detailed and nuanced discussion around the concepts presented in the short-lists (for the purpose of gathering information on preferences, not with the goal of selecting a preferred approach). While it is anticipated that the long- and short-list of options are fairly complete, the successful proponent should expect to facilitate discussions on creative ways to enhance or modify CFRA Phase II options in response to stakeholder feedback.
Q5	Under 6.4, we are asked to provide "hourly rates for additional engagement... to support activities to support planning for sea level rise in other at-risk areas across the City." Could you provide us with approximate timelines of future work as our rates go up yearly?
A5	Provide rates for 2018 for potential additional work.
Q6	Will the consultant be supported by City of Vancouver communications staff when it comes to the implementation and dissemination of materials through established City social media channels?
A6	Yes.