

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL “RFP” NO. PS20170292
CONSULTING SERVICE FOR ARBUTUS GREENWAY**

INFORMATION MEETING - MARCH 9, 2017 3:00 PM

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS NO. 1

ISSUED ON MARCH 10, 2017

Q1.1	The RFP states the accrediting body of the Project Manager is the Project Management Institute. Is the proponent’s project manager required to have the PMP designation?
A1.1	PMP designation is not required however the Proponent’s Project Manager is expected to have extensive experience with managing complex project with multidisciplinary professionals, similar to the Arbutus Greenway. Please refer to AMD 2 for the revision.
Q1.2	We note that an electrical engineer is required on the project team. Please comment to the extent the electrical scope will dedicated to powering site furnishings vs. rail systems and controls planning and future design, for the purposes of providing the greenway “rail-ready” infrastructure. Is an electrical engineer with a rail systems engineering background required for this project?
A1.2	The City expects the proponent’s electrical engineer(s) to be fully capable of determining the electrical servicing requirements for the key aspects of the project, including the streetcar and the public realm described in the RFP. The primary focus for the assignment will be on servicing imminent greenway elements, such as pathway lighting and greenway amenities, whereas the intent with streetcar elements is to generally understand future servicing requirements and particularly the spatial needs for electrical infrastructure. Defining the detailed electrical requirements for road crossings/intersections associated with the streetcar is not within the scope of this RFP.
Q1.3	To what extent should the team plan/design for the future streetcar? Please further describe the level of rail readiness required.
A1.3	As noted in sections 6.5, 7.1a, 8.1b and 8.1c planning for a future streetcar is an integral component of the project. The expectation is that the streetcar’s general alignment and associated infrastructure - and particularly the spatial needs - will be determined through this RFP such that the imminent greenway elements (walking/cycling paths, public spaces, etc.) can be designed to minimize future disruption when the streetcar is eventually implemented.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL “RFP” NO. PS20170292
CONSULTING SERVICE FOR ARBUTUS GREENWAY**

	Detailed design of the streetcar alignment, including determining its ‘rail readiness’, is not included in this RFP.
Q1.4	I see that the Mandatory information meeting & registration form is no longer mandatory. If interested in this RFP, is there another document that we need to fill out, or are we just to submit the RFP documents by the Closing Time?
A1.4	You need to submit all documents requested in the RFP by the published Closing Time.
Q1.5	Can you share the list of attendees in the Information Meeting?
A1.5	<p>The information meeting was not mandatory therefore the list below includes companies who submitted a registration form and may have been physically present or dialed-in. This list does not limit you from working with other companies who are not on the list.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. AECOM 2. Alta Planning + Design 3. Bunt & Associates 4. Carscadden Stokes McDonald Architects Inc. 5. Design Workshop Inc. 6. DIALOG BC Architecture Engineering Interior Design Planning Inc. 7. Durante Kreuk Ltd. 8. Enns Gauthier Landscape Architects 9. Evolve Traffic Solutions Ltd. 10. Hapa Collaborative 11. IBI Group 12. ISL Engineering Services Ltd. 13. James Corner Field Operations 14. Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. 15. Lees + Associates 16. McElhanney Consulting Services Ltd. 17. Modus Planning, Design & Engagement 18. Morrison Hershfield Ltd. 19. Mott MacDonald 20. O2 Planning + Design 21. Perry + Associates 22. PFS Studio 23. PLW Partnership Landscape Architects Inc. 24. Randall Sharp Landscape Architecture 25. SMP Engineering 26. Space2place Design Inc. 27. Stantec

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL "RFP" NO. PS20170292
CONSULTING SERVICE FOR ARBUTUS GREENWAY

28.	Tetra Tech Canada Inc.
29.	Urban Systems Ltd.
30.	VIA Architecture
31.	ZGF Cotter Architects Inc. (DBA: ZGF)