

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL "RFP" NO. PS20140032 ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR BUILDING RENOVATION SITE /INFORMATION MEETING - 9:00 AM, FEB. 18, 2014

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS NO. 1

ISSUED ON February 24, 2014

Q1	What is the budget the City anticipates for the hard costs of this Scope of Work?
A 1	The preliminary construction budget is \$3,300,000
Q2	The RFP mentions a General Contractor as part of the team. If this is not the case, it should be formally deleted (Page A-3, Section 4.10)
A2	This statement has been amended. Please check the website for Amendment #1 (AMD 1) posted on February 17, 2014.
Q3	With all the concern about Green Vancouver, will the budget allow upgrading of the windows and other energy conservation measures?
А3	At this time window replacement is not included in this scope. While energy upgrades are not a primary focus of this project, any upgrades to lighting or mechanical equipment that fall within the scope of the project should be designed to reduce energy consumption as much as possible.
Q4	Do the City record drawings include the various remodeling that have occurred? There were some existing building drawings in the Boiler Room and in a Maintenance closet. Will the design team have access to these, or better, drawings?
A4	The latest documents will be made available, including electronic drawings and records of recent Capital Maintenance work.
Q5	My understanding is that a building structural seismic upgrade is not anticipated. Can you confirm this is correct?
A5	A building structural seismic upgrade is not included within the scope of this project at this time.
Q6	Are prospective tenants eventually going to see a "vanilla shell" for their prospective tenant spaces/offices, or alternately the existing conditions with existing walls and construction (like jail cells) in whatever shape these are in after installing sprinklers etc?
A6	Tenants will be shown existing building conditions. No additional work will be required for leasing showings.





REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL "RFP" NO. PS20140032 ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR BUILDING RENOVATION SITE WALKTHROUGH/INFORMATION MEETING - 9:00 AM, FEB. 18, 2014

Q7	Are there energy targets (LEED rating for example) that are part of the building renovation?
A7	There is no requirement for LEED certification; however LEED principles and practices are to be applied to the maximum extent possible. While energy upgrades are not a primary focus of this project, any upgrades to lighting or mechanical equipment that fall within the scope of the project should be designed to reduce energy consumption as much as possible.
Q8	The record AutoCAD drawings are not to include x-refs. Why is this?
A8	This is the policy of the City's management for record drawings. X-refs are acceptable in the working documents but must be bound prior to submission of as-built files.
Q9	Are there any existing Building Code reports or assessments available regarding this building?
А9	A new Code Compliance Report will be required. Previous assessments/reports are outdated, but they will be shared with successful proponent for information.
Q10	There was discussion about the City performing an "energy audit" of the building soon. When will this be? Will that be available for the Design team? Please confirm this is not part of this Scope of Work?
A10	A future energy audit and energy upgrades are planned for the building. However the energy audit will not be completed in time for this project. While energy upgrades are not a primary focus of this project, any upgrades to lighting or mechanical equipment that fall within the scope of the project should be designed to reduce energy consumption as much as possible.
Q11	We did not see the roof(s). Are they in reasonable shape or is a roof replacement anticipated? Is a roof condition report available?
A11	Roofing is not in this scope of work which is mainly interior renovations. Reroofing of City buildings falls under the Capitol Maintenance cycle outside of this project scope.
Q12	Would you be able to clarify if the required fee proposal is for Architectural services only, or if other Consultant fees are also to be included in the Fee For Basic Services?
A12	The Architectural fee proposal shall include all fees from all members of the team. Please refer to Schedule 2 - Pricing Table and the list of potential subconsultants on Section 4 of Schedule 1.
Q13	Is it up to the architect to assign someone to manage the hazardous material removal or is the city of Vancouver looking for contractors to remove the asbestos?

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL "RFP" NO. PS20140032 ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR BUILDING RENOVATION SITE WALKTHROUGH/INFORMATION MEETING - 9:00 AM, FEB. 18, 2014

A13	Hazardous material removal will be managed by the City and is not part of the scope of this RFP.
Q14	Page B-4 of the RFP contains the following response requirement:
	2.19 Each Proponent must submit with its Proposal proof of valid WorkSafeBC registration. Such registration should be maintained as specified in Section 9.0 of the Form of Agreement.
	Traditionally, architectural firms are not registered with WorkSafeBC. Please advise if this requirement can be deleted from the RFP.
A14	This requirement cannot be deleted. The City requires valid WorkSafeBC clearance from all its vendors and consultants.
Q15	Our first concern is seismic upgrading. The only mention for seismic upgrading is for non-structural items on the M & E in the renovated area which would not be part of our scope. We believe that the new building VBBL will be in effect and the provisions of the annex on existing buildings would be in effect. At the very least this would require a Code review including seismic and vertical loads and at the upper end of the scale would require seismic upgrading. How is it proposed to handle this in the scope and proposal?
A15	A building structural seismic upgrade is not included within the scope of this project at this time. However non-structural seismic restrains for interior work such as suspended ceiling, mechanical and electrical equipment etc. will be in the scope of this project.
Q16	Are any engineering studies available for the building? To what extent would these reports be available for our use or would we be reproducing them. Would new structural and seismic reports be required at permit time?
A16	Previous engineering studies are outdated but shall be available for reference by the successful proponent team. See also A5 and A15 above.
Q17	Are existing structural drawings for the building available? This will affect our scope/effort.
A17	Current Architectural record drawings showing locations of structural elements are available. Original structural drawings are not available at this time.

PLEASE FIND ATTACHED, THE ATTENDANCE LIST FROM THIS INFORMATION MEETING.

		EVERYONE MOST SIGN. ONE				
ŧ.	Vendor Name	Representative	Email address	Initial	5	Out
#		MACK KEFFERNAN	mhelternane applicate raineer in sca	Met		
	2 B + H Architects Inc.	Meighan Gold	meighan, scott @ bhoudriteck, lann	am MS		
	3 Bing Thom Architects	Muther Hebriet	mheeney@btemoup.com	F	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
	4 CEI Architecture	Stephen Rowe	Sname @ceionchitedure,com	7		
I	5 Chernoff Thompson Architects	Carlos Aller	carlos.a@ctabc.ca	3		
I	DIALOG BC Architecture Engineering	Boch year	Theory of dialogaesign can	1		
	o illellor besign rialling inc.	NATALIE TELEWIAL.	natalicomo-architecture.ca			
		Okun Broad	gleum. burnell o now	XOB)	
	NORK Architects Figure 1	MINIMIAM MANNING	HA LAN'SMEATCHEATHTOWN HIMBINING	THA LAN'S		
	10 PLOT Developments Inc.	Michelle (ando	miando é piet developments. con chiando é piet developments. con con constant de la constant de	San San		

312/324 Main St.

	EVENTONE MOOF GIGHT. CHE				
Vender Name	Representative	Email address	Initial	<u>ה</u>	Out
	FORD RAFI	food are fliarchitects, com	, Fil		
Cohom Hoffart Mathiasan Architects	RON HOFFANT.	von. hoffart @	Wall		
12 Granam nonan mannasen Achinecus	PANDY PMILL	rknit oda-anchitects, ca	7		
TAS Ashingts Linteriors Inc	LEIGH COUYER.	Kollycr@zasa.com	killer.		
14 LAS Alcillects Tilleriors inc.)	
Kasian Architecture, Interior Design	FRIAL MAINE	BOIL HIMME (a KACIAN) COM			
16 and Planning Ltd.	Roch Switze	Ane	am dubp		
SSDG Interiors Inc. (will partner with	TISSIBN KEITE	1/21RSTEN ~ KRARCHITECTWOE.CA			
William Harrison Architecty	Poblent I solve	rlange edable.gm	mitaer		
19 DGBK Architects			1	V	·
20 MMM Group Limited					

312/324 Main St.

30	26	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	#
MICHAEL CREEN ARCHITEC	29 Studio One Architecture Inc.	RATIO Architecture Interior Design + 28 Planning Inc.	27 IBI/HB Architects	26 Omicron	25 Public Design	24 KRA Architecture	23 Unison Construction Mgt. Ltd.	22 MCW Consultants Ltd.	21 William Harrison Architect	Vendor Name
30 MICHARL GREEN ARCHITECTURE SORDAN VAN DISK	thin wides		Davige Karacategli Sanige	No roman Low be	Issica Hum	(Dian Songer	Raymond Cho		Representative
Jordan @ mg architecture con	enche syndia are architethe ca	c	Janya-karacatogrice	Nampe COM (15m cec, con	jessica expublic design.ca		HON BUNESONCE	RUMO ONCH. com		Email address
A				4	OF A		DP	8.5		Initial
					7					3
										Out

312/324 Main St.

40	39		38	37	36	35	34	ယ္သ	32	ಹ	#
		Med	MCM	Pankin Granitects	AME Group	RAMO	MMA Group	NTEGRAL	REDALE GROUP	HORTH	Vendor Name
		the southout	MANUEL DIÁS	RICK YOUNG	Hane Vennen'	ALOWMON 3711N	PETER ELTON	THAN LEE	PETER PAYHER	TOWAS JUST	Representative
	1	TOWN CANCE TOWN	maias @ maninteriors.com	ryoung@parkin.ca	marievennerie amegroup.ca	mikem@thinklatio.com	eltonpommm.ca	ilecointegral-group.ca	prayher@iredale.ca	PERMIT OF TOROHAR	Email address
		٤	3	P	Whi	N.	T)	2 1	PC.	Cox M2	Initial
		<				-		\			5
											Out