

INVITATION TO TENDER "ITT" NO. PS20180487
REROOFING 1581 - 1585 W. 4TH AVENUE

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS NO. 2

ISSUED ON APRIL 18, 2018

Q1	The Summary of work (section 01 11 00, p.3 of 5) 2.1.21 explains that "Cap flashing to have continuous hidden clips on both interior and exterior sides" but Sheet Metal Flashing and Trim (section 07 62 00, p.4 of 4) 3.3.6.1-3 only lists continuous clip on the exterior face with hidden fasteners on the top. Are we able to use cladding screws on the interior face instead of the continuous clip?
A1	Interior face of metal cap flashing to be color match cladding screws, reference Amendment 3.
Q2	Modified Bituminous Roofing (section 07 52 00) 1.3.7 asks to install PMMA at "roof penetrations, drain tie-ins, lockout detail, ...) but the detail drawing for the drains (A108) and the B-Vents (A109) does not show any PMMA. Also, the detail drawing for penetrations (A103) only lists PMMA with out a plumbing stack. Which penetrations are we to use PMMA for and do we need to include a plumbing stack for all penetrations?
A2	Standard penetration details will apply, use of PMMA liquid flashing only for special circumstances, reference Amendment 3.
Q3	The Summary of Work (section 01 11 00, p2 of 5) 2.1.14 asks for new cast iron drains but detail drawing A108 appears to show a copper spun clamp-tite drain. Can we use a copper spun clamp-tite drain with blue seal?
A3	Copper spun clamping drain with blue seal connection to apply, reference Amendment 3
Q4	Depending on how the slope package comes in, there may be an issue with the height of the door to the deck area. How are we to proceed if the slope package does not leave us enough height to satisfy the RCABC requirement of 3.5" under a threshold?
A4	The sloped insulation and related roof assembly to be modified to create a valley from the door location to the relevant drain. Reference Amendment 3.

**INVITATION TO TENDER "ITT" PS20180487
REROOFING 1581 - 1585 W. 4TH AVENUE
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS No. 1**

Q5	<p>For the new deck, the Summary of Work (section 01 11 00, p2 of 5) 2.1.13 indicates "Deck to be similar to existing in dimension and appearance" but the detail drawing (A110) seems to redesign the deck to include a cedar frame and side mounted railings.</p> <p>a. Are we able to use top mounted railings like the existing deck currently has?</p> <p>b. Are we able to use 5/4"x6" Cedar Deck Boards (factory smooth finish) or should we use 1"x6" cedar planks (rough finish) as indicated on the drawing?</p> <p>c. Detail drawing A110 indicates the cedar be stain but makes no mention of type, colour, or intent. Which type of stain shall we use on the cedar lumber?</p>
A5	<p>New deck to be constructed with cedar frame and side-mounted railings, 1"X6" cedar planks, stained, color to be selected by owner. Reference Amendment 3.</p>
Q6	<p>Would the City approve as an alternate the submitted product specifications for a cold applied system as opposed to the torch applied system specified.</p>
A6	<p>A cold applied system will not be considered on this project.</p>
Q7	<p>Upon reviewing your specification for the above mentioned project, we would appreciate your consideration in approving CGC (Canadian Gypsum Co) for the following Section:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>SECTION 07 52 00 MODIFIED BITUMINOUS ROOFING (PAGE 4 OF 6)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2.0.8 Acceptable Products Coverboard: ¼" DensDeck Prime by Georgia Pacific CGC equivalent: ¼" Securock Glass Mat Roof Board</p>
A7	<p>Reference Amendment 3.</p>